arXiv:1404.4964v1 [math.NT] 19 Apr 2014

THE PARITY OF ANALYTIC RANKS AMONG QUADRATIC TWISTS OF ELLIPTIC CURVES OVER NUMBER FIELDS

NAVA BALSAM

Abstract. The parity of the analytic rank of an elliptic curve is given by the root number in the functional equation $L(E,s)$. Fixing an elliptic curve over any number field and considering the family of its quadratic twists, it is natural to ask what the average analytic rank in this family is. A lower bound on this number is given by the average root number. In this paper, we investigate the root number in such families and derive an asymptotic formula for the proportion of curves in the family that have even rank. Our results are then used to support a conjecture about the average analytic rank in this family of elliptic curves.

1. Introduction

Associated to an elliptic curve E over a field K is a family of curves, its quadratic twists. Within this family, it is natural to consider the the distribution of the most intriguing invariant of an elliptic curve, its Mordell-Weil rank, which, via the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture is equal to its analytic rank. This investigation began when Goldfeld [6] conjectured that the average analytic rank in such a family associated to an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$, is $\frac{1}{2}$. The motivation for this conjecture comes from considering the root number in the $L-$function of a twisted elliptic curve and the folklore conjecture that elliptic curves of rank ≥ 2 are rare. The result of this paper is a generalization of the conjecture to elliptic curves over arbitrary number fields. In many cases we find that the twists of E/K do not have even and odd analytic rank in equal proportion and therefore the conjectured average value of the analytic rank is not $\frac{1}{2}$.

Recently, such an analysis was undertaken by Klagsbrun, Mazur and Rubin [9], wherein they find the density of curves of even Selmer rank among a family of quadratic twists. Using their results, they formulate a generalization of Goldfeld's conjecture for elliptic curves over number fields. Some of their techniques lend themselves to the analytic aspect as well and have been a major inspiration for this paper. We are able to verify their results in many cases, equating the average algebraic rank (mod 2) with the average analytic rank (mod 2). Thus, the result of this paper can be viewed as a parity conjecture *on average* in this family of elliptic curves. In other words, the proportion of elliptic curves with even algebraic rank is equal to the proportion of curves that have even analytic rank, in a family of quadratic twists.

Because of the convenience of dealing with automorphic representations of $GL(2)$, in this paper we only consider elliptic curves which are modular, a property which is defined as follows. Let E be an elliptic curve with conductor $\mathfrak{N}$ over a number

Date: November 07, 2013.

field K. Then E is modular if the Hasse-Weil $L-$function of E/K is equal to the $L-$function of a cuspidal Hilbert modular form of parallel weight 2, and level $\mathfrak{N}$ (See[5]). In particular, the Hasse-Weil $L-$function of E, denoted $L(E/K,s)$ or simply $L(E,s)$ is equal to the $L-$function of a cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi_E = \otimes \pi_{E,v}$ of $GL(2,\mathbb{A}_K)$ associated to a Hilbert modular form, and therefore $L(E,s)$ satisfies a functional equation. It is known that all elliptic curves over $K=\mathbb{Q}$ are modular [1] and recently it has been shown that most elliptic curves over totally real fields and real quadratic extensions of totally real fields, are modular [10, 4].

Let $\Lambda_E(s)$ denote the "completed" L-function of π_E , that is, including the factors at the archimedian places. Then the functional equation takes the form $\Lambda_E(s) = w\Lambda(1-s)$ where $w \in \{\pm 1\}$, called the root number will play a pivotal role in this paper. The **analytic rank** of E, denoted $rk(E)$ is defined as

$$rk(E) = \text{order of vanishing of } \Lambda_E(s) \text{ at } s = \frac{1}{2}.$$

For an elliptic curve E/K, we study the **quadratic twists** of E: these are elliptic curves E' which are isomorphic to E over some quadratic extension K'/K. In order to make assertions about density in a family of curves, it is necessary to order them in some way. Suppose that E' is isomorphic to E over some quadratic field K'. Then via standard class field theory, there is a unique quadratic Hecke character χ, associated to the extension K'/K. Let E^χ be the curve which is isomorphic to E over the quadratic field K' with associated Hecke character χ and let $C(K)$ be the set of all quadratic Hecke characters of $\mathbb{A}_K^\times$. For $\chi \in C(K)$, let $q_1, q_2, ..., q_n$ be the places where χ is ramified. Then we define the norm, $N\chi = \max_i\{Nq_i\}$ which gives an ordering of twists of an elliptic curve. Our main result is the following,

Theorem. *Let E be a modular elliptic curve over a number field K such that no local supercuspidal representations occur in the factorization of π_E, then*

$$\lim_{X\to\infty} \frac{\#\{\chi \in C(K) \mid N\chi \le X \text{ and } rk(E^\chi) \text{ is even}\}}{\#\{\chi \in C(K) \mid N\chi \le X\}} = \frac{1+(-1)^{rk(E)}\kappa}{2}$$

where $\kappa = \prod \kappa_v$ is a product over the places of K given by

$$\kappa_v = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } K_v \backsimeq \mathbb{R} \\ 1 & \text{if } K_v \backsimeq \mathbb{C} \\ 2/|c_v| - 1 & E \text{ has split mutiplicative reduction at } v \\ 1 - 2/|c_v| & E \text{ has nonsplit multiplicative reduction at } v \\ 1 - 2/|c_v| & E \text{ has multiplicative reduction in a quadratic extension at } v \\ 1 & E \text{ has potentially multiplicative reduction (non-quadratic)} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $|c_v|$ is the number of degree 2 extensions of K_v (if $v \mid 2$ then $|c_v| = 4 \cdot 2^{[K_v:\mathbb{Q}_v]}$) otherwise $|c_v| = 4$) .

In particular the theorem holds unconditionally for semi-stable curves over a real quadratic field[10]. Note that if the field K has a real embedding then the density of even analytic ranks is exactly $\frac{1}{2}$.

2. Notation

Let K be a number field and let E be an elliptic curve over K with conductor $\mathfrak{N}$. Let $\Lambda_E(s)$ be the completed L-function of E, which, under the assumption of modularity, is also the $L-$function of a cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL(2,\mathbb{A}_K)$. Let $\chi : K^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_K^\times \to \pm 1$ be a quadratic Hecke character with conductor $\mathfrak{f}$ and let $\Lambda_E(s,\chi) = \Lambda_{E^\chi}(s)$ denote the L-function of the quadratic twist E^χ. Let $w \in \{\pm 1\}$ be the "root number" in the functional equation, i.e. such that $\Lambda_E(s) = w\Lambda_E(1-s)$ and let $n(\chi)$ be the "change" in the epsilon factor, i.e such that $\Lambda_E(s,\chi) = n(\chi)\cdot\omega\Lambda_E(1-s,\chi)$ holds. Recall that $rk(E)$ denotes the analytic rank of E, as defined in the previous section.

Let $C(K)$ be the group of global quadratic Hecke characters and $C(K_v)$ be the group of local quadratic characters at a place v of K. We define the norm of a Hecke character as $N\chi := \max_{\substack{\chi \text{ ramifies} \\ \text{at } q}} \{Nq\}$.

Let $C(K,X)$ be the group of global Hecke characters such that $N\chi \le X$ and $\Gamma = \prod_{v\in\Sigma} C(K_v)$ where Σ is a finite set of places including ∞, and all $v \mid \mathfrak{N}$.

Let E/K be a modular elliptic curve, then the L-function $\Lambda_E(s)$ is equal to the $L-$function $\Lambda(\pi_E, s)$ attached to a global automorphic representation π_E of $GL(2,\mathbb{A}_Q)$ arising from a Hilbert modular form. In particular, this implies that $\Lambda(\pi_{E^\chi}, s) = \Lambda(\chi\otimes\pi_E, s)$.

Let K_v be a local field. If K_v is non-archimedian, let ϖ be a uniformizing element and let$\mathfrak{o}_v$ be the ring of integers of K_v.

At a non-archimedian place v, the admissible representations of $GL(2,K_v)$ are classified into 3 basic types of representation [8]. The class of supercuspidal representations is excluded from this paper because their local epsilon factors present difficulties with respect to computation. The other two types, the principal series representations, denoted $\pi(\mu_1,\mu_2)$ and the special representation denoted $\sigma(\mu_1,\mu_2)$ are discussed in detail in [8] which is also a major reference for the computational aspects in this paper. Because elliptic curves are self-dual we have that $\mu_1 = \mu_2^{-1}$ in both the supercuspidal and the special representations occurring in the factorization of π_E.

3. Change in Global Root Number

The functional equation of an elliptic curve takes the form $\Lambda_E(s) = w\Lambda_E(1-s)$ where w is either $+1$ or -1. Thus, the order of vanishing at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ is even if and only if $w = 1$. Consider a quadratic twist of this $L-$function $\Lambda_E(s,\chi)$, we expect the root number to change by a prescribed amount

$$\Lambda_E(s,\chi) = n(\chi)w\Lambda_E(1-s,\chi)$$

so that,

$$rk(E^\chi) \equiv rk(E) \mod 2 \iff n(\chi) = 1 \tag{3.1}$$

Next we compute $n(\chi)$ explicitly.

Proposition 1. *Let $\chi = \prod_v \chi_v$ and let ϖ denote a local uniformizer at v. Then*

$$n(\chi) = \prod_v n_v(\chi_v)$$

where the n_v are given explicitly by the following table:

i	Type of Representation		$n_v(\chi)$
1	$\pi_v = \pi_v(\mu_v, \mu_v^{-1})$,	χ_v is unramified.	1
2	μ_v is unramified,	χ_v is ramified.	$\chi(-1)$
3	$\pi_v = \pi_v(\mu_v, \mu_v^{-1})$,	χ_v is unramified.	1
4	μ_v is ramified	χ_v is ramified, $\mu_v\chi_v$ is unramified.	$\chi_v(-1)$
5		χ_v is ramified, $\mu_v\chi_v$ is ramified.	$\chi_v(-1)$
6	$\pi_v = \sigma_v(\mu_v, \mu_v^{-1})$,	χ_v is unramified.	$\chi_v(\varpi)$
7	μ_v is unramified,	χ_v is ramified.	$-\chi_v(-1)\mu_v(\varpi)^{-1}$
8	$\pi_v = \sigma_v(\mu_v, \mu_v^{-1})$,	χ_v is ramified, $\mu_v\chi_v$ is ramified.	$\chi_v(-1)$
9	μ_v is ramified,	χ_v is ramified, $\mu_v\chi_v$ is unramified.	$-\chi_v(-\varpi)\mu_v(\varpi)$
10		χ_v is unramified.	1

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{N} = \prod \eta_v$ be the conductor of the elliptic curve E, and $\mathfrak{f} = \prod \mathfrak{f}_\mathfrak{v}$, the conductor of χ as in section 2, and let ϖ_v be a local uniformizer at v. It follows from the tensor-product theorem ([7] Chapter 10, [8]), that $n = \prod_v n(\chi_v)$, where the local root number $n(\chi_v) \in \{\pm 1\}$ is such that

$$L_v(s, \chi \otimes \pi) = n(\chi_v) \cdot w_v L_v(1 - s, \chi \otimes \pi)$$

holds, where w_v is the local root number of the (untwisted) local L-function. In order to find $n(\chi_v)$ we first compute

$$a_v(s) = \frac{\epsilon(s, \pi_v)}{\epsilon(s, \pi_v \otimes \chi_v)}$$

and then for any $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ we have that

$$n(\chi_v) = \frac{a_v(s)}{|a_v(s)|}$$

The essence of this manipulation is that the root number is the "sign" of the epsilon factor. The proposition then follows from local epsilon factor computations in each case as given in Jacquet-Langlands [8]. As an illustration we include the proof in one of the above cases.

The case presented here is when π_v is an unramified representation but $\chi_v \otimes \pi_v$ is not (line 2 in the chart). This is equivalent to the statement that $v \nmid \mathfrak{N}$, $v \mid \mathfrak{f}$ i.e. that the representation π_v is an unramified principal series $\pi(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ and that χ_v is ramified with conductor $\mathfrak{f}_v$. Choose an additive character of K_v, say $\psi(x) = e^{2\pi i \Lambda(a/\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{f}_\mathfrak{v})}$, as defined in Tate's thesis [11]. With the formulas as in [8], we

compute:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(s,\pi_v\otimes\chi_v,\psi)^{-1} &= \epsilon(s,\chi_v\mu_1)^{-1}\epsilon(s,\chi_v\mu_2)^{-1}\\ &= \prod_{i=1,2}\frac{1}{\mu_i\chi_v(\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{f})}N(\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{f})^{s-\frac{1}{2}}N\mathfrak{f}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum\mu_i\chi_v(a)\psi(a)\\ &= \tfrac{1}{\mu_1\mu_2(\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{f}_\mathfrak{v})}\epsilon(s,\chi_v,\psi)^{-2}\\ &= \epsilon(s,\chi_v,\psi)^{-2}\end{aligned}$$

the last formula following from the fact that $\mu_1\mu_2=1$ for all elliptic curves. We also have that

$$\epsilon(s,\pi_v)=N(\mathfrak{d})^{2s-1}$$

whenever π_v is unramified. So that

$$\begin{aligned}a_v &= \tfrac{\epsilon(s,\chi_v,\psi)^{-2}}{N(\mathfrak{d})^{2s-1}}\\ &= \tfrac{N\mathfrak{f}_\mathfrak{v}{}^{2s-2}}{\chi_v(\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{f}_v)^2}\left(\sum_{a \bmod \mathfrak{f}_v}\chi(a)\psi(a)\right)^2\\ &= N\mathfrak{f}_v^{2s-2}\tau(\chi_v)^2\\ &= N\mathfrak{f}_v^{2s-1}\chi_v(-1)\end{aligned}$$

Where $\tau(\chi_v)$ is the guass sum of χ_v with respect to the additive character ψ. The fact that $\tau(\chi_v)^2=N\mathfrak{f}_v\chi(-1)$ can be shown using the epsilon factors for GL(1) (e.g. see [2], section 23.) We have that $n_v(\chi_v)=\chi(-1)$.

Let S_i be the set of places that have the properties of the ith row in the Table. For example, S_1 is the set of places where π_v is unramified and χ_v is unramified. □

Proposition 2. *We have*

$$rk(E^\chi)\equiv rk(E) \mod 2 \iff \prod_{\substack{v|\infty\\ v\ real}}\chi_v(-1)\prod_{S_6}\chi_v(\varpi_v)\prod_{S_7}\frac{-1}{\mu_v(\varpi)}\prod_{S_9}-\chi_v\mu_v(\varpi)=1$$

where S is the set of places where π_v is a special representation.

Proof. By equation 3.1 and Proposition 1 we have that

$$\begin{gathered}rk(E^\chi)\equiv rk(E) \mod 2\\ \iff\\ \prod_{S_1,S_3,S_{10}}1\prod_{S_2,S_4,S_5,S_8}\chi_v(-1)\prod_{S_6}\chi_v(\varpi)\prod_{S_7}\tfrac{-\chi_v(-1)}{\mu_v(\varpi)}\prod_{S_9}-\chi_v(-\varpi)\mu_v(\varpi)=1\end{gathered}$$

Now, since χ is a Hecke character, $\chi(-1,-1,...-1...)=\prod\chi_v(-1)=1$. Therefore, we may multiply the right hand side by $\prod\chi_v(-1)$. Now since χ_v is unramified in the sets S_1,S_3,S_{10} and S_6, the result follows. □

It will be useful to simplify the expression in Proposition 2. Let us denote $\Sigma_1=S_6\cup S_7$ and $\Sigma_2=S_8\cup S_9\cup S_{10}$ and let $m_v(\chi)=\chi_v(-1)a_v(\chi)$. More explicitly,

$$m_v(\chi)=\begin{cases}\chi_v(\varpi) & \text{if } \chi_v\text{is unramified}\\ -\mu_v(\varpi)^{-1} & \text{if } \chi_v \text{ is ramified}\end{cases}\quad \text{for } v\in\Sigma_1$$

$$m_v(\chi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi_v \text{is unramified} \\ 1 & \text{if } \chi_v \text{ and } \chi_v\mu_v \text{are ramified} \\ -\chi_v\mu_v(\varpi) & \text{if } \chi_v \text{ is ramified and } \chi_v\mu_v \text{ is unramified} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } v \in \Sigma_2.$$

We will rewrite Proposition 2 as

$$rk(E^\chi) \equiv rk(E) \mod 2 \iff \prod_{\substack{v|\infty \\ v \text{ real}}} \chi_v(-1) \prod_{\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2} m_v(\chi) \tag{3.2}$$

note that Σ_1 are places where π_v is a special representation with unramified character and Σ_2 are places where π_v is a special representation with a ramified character. Thus, only the places where special representations occur (or real places) change the root number of a twisted curve.

4. The Density of Even Analytic Ranks

According to equation 3.2, the parity of the analytic rank doesn't change upon twisting by χ if and only a certain product of $-1's$ and $+1's$ occurring on the right-hand side of 3.2 is equal to 1. The next step involves computation the proportion of cases where the product is $+1$. This amounts to an exercise in counting quadratic characters.

Let c_v be the set of local quadratic characters (if $v \nmid 2$ then $|c_v| = 4$, and if $v \mid 2$ then $|c_v| = 4 \cdot 2^{[K_v:\mathbb{Q}_v]}$), and let $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}$, where $\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the set of real places of K. For each element of Σ, define

$$\kappa_v = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|c_v|} \sum_{\chi \in c_v} m_v(\chi), & \text{for } v\text{in } \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \\ \frac{1}{|c_v|} \sum_{\chi \in c_v} \chi_v(-1), & \text{for real primes} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3. *Let* $\Gamma = \prod_{v \in \Sigma} C(K_v)$ *. Then,*

$$\frac{|\{\chi \in \Gamma \mid n(\chi) = 1\}|}{|\Gamma|} = \frac{1 + \prod_{v \in \Sigma} \kappa_v}{2}$$

Proof. (This is lemma 7.5 in [9]) Let $N = |\{\chi \in \Gamma \mid n(\chi) = 1\}|$. Then$\Gamma$ can be written as $\prod_{v \in \Sigma} c_v$, and we have that

$$N - (|\Gamma| - N) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \prod_{v \in \Sigma} n_v(\gamma_v) = \prod_{v \in \Sigma} \sum_{\gamma_v \in c_v} n_v(\gamma_v).$$

Now when we divide both sides by $\Gamma = \prod_{v \in \Sigma} c_v$ we get that $2N/|\Gamma| - 1 = \prod_{v \in \Sigma} \kappa_v$ and the lemma follows. □

Next, we compute the values of κ_v.

Lemma 4. *The local factors* κ *have the following values*

- $\kappa_v = 0$ when $K_v \backsimeq \mathbb{R}$

- $\kappa_v = \begin{cases} 2/|c_v| - 1 & E \text{ has split mutiplicative reduction at } v \\ 1 - 2/|c_v| & E \text{ has nonsplit multiplicative reduction at } v \end{cases}$ for $v \in \Sigma_1$
- $\kappa_v = \begin{cases} 1 - 2/|c_v| & E \text{ has mult. red. in a quad. extension at } v \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ for $v \in \Sigma_2$
- $\kappa_v = 1$ if E has good reduction, potentially good reduction, or $K_v \backsimeq \mathbb{C}$

Note that Σ_1 are the places where E has multiplicative reduction and Σ_2 are the places where E has potentially multiplicative reduction. Thus, $\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \{\text{real places}\}$ are the only places that affect the value of κ.

Proof. For a real place, there are exactly two characters: χ_{triv} and χ_{sign} and $\kappa_\infty = \frac{1}{2}(\chi_{triv}(-1) + \chi_{sign}(-1)) = 0$.

For a non-archimedian place in Σ_1, there is one trivial character χ_{triv} and an unramified character $\chi_{u.r.}$. For the first two, $m_v(\chi_v) = \chi_v(\varpi)$, so that $m_v(\chi_{triv}) = 1$ and $m_v(\chi_{u.r.}) = -1$. For the ramified characters χ_{ram}, $m_v(\chi_{ram}) = -\mu_v(\varpi_v)$. In total we have

$$\kappa_v = \frac{1}{|c_v|}\left(1 - 1 + (|c_v| - 2)\,\mu_v(\varpi)\right)$$

It follows from a comparison of the $L-$function at multiplicative reduction and at a special representation with unramified character that $\mu_v(\varpi) = 1$ at split multiplicative reduction and $\mu_v(\varpi) = -1$ at non-split multiplicative reduction, which gives the result for $v \in \Sigma_1$.

For $v \in \Sigma_2$, we are in the situation where the local representation is of the form $\sigma_v(\mu_v, \mu_v^{-1})$ where μ_v is ramified. If χ_v is unramified, then $m_v(\chi_v) = 1$. If χ_v is ramified and $\chi_v\mu_v$ is also ramified, then $m_v(\chi_v) = 1$. If $\chi_v\mu_v$ is unramified, then $\mu_v\,|_{\mathfrak{o}_k^\times}$ must be a quadratic character and then there are exactly two characters $\chi_{v,1}$ and $\chi_{v,2}$ such that $\chi_v\mu_v$ is unramified and furthermore, $\chi_{v,1}(\varpi) = 1$, and $\chi_{v,2}(\varpi) = -1$ so that $m_v(\chi_{v,1}) = -\mu_v(\varpi)$ and $m_v(\chi_{v,2}) = \mu_v(\varpi)$. In total, if $\mu_v\,|_{\mathfrak{o}_k^\times}$ is not quadratic, then $m_v(\chi_v) = 1$ for all local characters χ_v and if $\mu_v\,|_{\mathfrak{o}_k^\times}$ is quadratic then

$$\kappa_v = \frac{1}{|c_v|}\left(1 \cdot (2 - |c_v|) + \mu_v(\varpi) - \mu_v(\varpi)\right) = 1 - 2/|c_v|$$

Now, if $\mu_v\,|_{\mathfrak{o}_k^\times}$ is quadratic, then the elliptic curve has potential multiplicative reduction at v (in particular, multiplicative reduction in a quadratic extension of K_v). □

The following lemma deals with the problem that not all collections of local characters give rise to global characters.

Lemma 5. *Recall that $C(K)$ is the group of global quadratic characters, and $\Gamma = \prod_{v\in\Sigma} C(K_v)$. The natural homomorphism $\alpha : C(K) \to \Gamma$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $\gamma = \prod \gamma_v \in \prod_{v\in\Sigma} C(K_v)$ and let s be a place of K, not in Σ. Then if we set $\gamma_s(q) = \gamma^{-1}(q)$, for all $q \in K^\times \subset K_v^\times$, this defines a character on a dense subset of K_v, hence on all of K_v by continuity. Then $\gamma' = (\prod \gamma_v) \cdot \gamma_s$ is trivial on $K^\times$ and $\alpha(\gamma') = \gamma$. □

The lemma allows us to convert statements about the density of even analytic ranks among arbitrary products of local characters to statements about the density of even analytic ranks among Hecke characters.

To conclude, I will restate and prove the main theorem

Theorem. *For all X large enough,*

$$\frac{\#\{\chi \in C(K,X) \text{ such that } rk(E^\chi) \text{ is even}\}}{|C(K,X)|} = \frac{1+(-1)^{rk(E)}\kappa}{2}$$

where $\kappa = \prod_v \kappa_v$ are defined and computed above.

Proof. For X large enough, the set of characters with norm less than X surjects onto Γ by lemma 5. Now by Proposition 2 the analytic rank only depends on a local product, and since the map $C(K) \to \Gamma$ is a homomorphism, all its fibers have the same size. Therefore,

$$\frac{\#\{\chi \in C(K,X) \text{ such that } \mathrm{rk}(E^\chi) = \mathrm{rk}(E)\ \}}{|C(K,X)|} = \frac{\#\{\chi \in \Gamma \text{ such that } n(\chi) = 1\ \}}{|\Gamma|}$$

And now the theorem follows from lemma 3 □

Our theorem is about the expected parity of twists of E. The heuristic that elliptic curves have rank as low as possible implies that given the parity constraints, the curves in this family will have analytic rank 0 or 1 with far greater frequency than the higher order ranks. Thus together with the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, our results support the conjecture in [9]

Conjecture. *(Klagsbrun-Mazur-Rubin)*

$$\lim_{X\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{\chi\in C(K,X)} rk(E^\chi)}{|C(K,X)|} = \frac{1+(-1)^{rk(E)}\kappa}{2}$$

Where the conjecture is adapted to analytic ranks and the local factors of κ are explicitly computed in the cases of lemma 4.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Dorian Goldfeld for proposing this project and for the numerous discussions and the copious amounts of advice and guidance that led to its conclusion. I would also like to thank Karl Rubin for helpful correspondence during the early drafts of this paper.

References

[1] Christophe Breuil, Brian Conrad, Fred Diamond, and Richard Taylor. On the modularity of elliptic curves over q: wild 3-adic exercises. Journal of the American Mathematical Society, 14, 2001.

[2] C. Bushnell and G. Henniart. The Local Langlands Conjecture for GL(2). Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.

[3] Tim Dokchitser and Vladimir Dokchitser. Elliptic curves with all quadratic twists of positive rank. Acta Arith., 137, 2009.

[4] Nuno Freitas, Bao V. Le Hung, and Samir Siksek. Elliptic curves over real quadratic fields are modular.
[5] Nuno Freitas and Samir Siksek. Modularity and the fermat equation over totally real fields. http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.3162, July 2013.
[6] Dorian Goldfeld. Conjectures on elliptic curves over quadratic fields. In Number Theory Carbondale 1979, pages 108–118. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1979.
[7] Dorian Goldfeld and Joseph Hundley. Automorphic Representations and L-functions for the General Linear Grouop, volume 1. Cambridge University Press.
[8] H. Jacquet and R. Langlands. Automorphic Forms on GL(2), volume 114 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
[9] Zev Klagsbrun, Barry Mazur, and Karl Rubin. Disparity in selmer ranks of quadratic twists of elliptic curves. Annals of Mathematics, 178, 2013.
[10] Bao V. Le Hung. Modularity of some elliptic curves over totally real fields.
[11] John T. Tate. Fourier analysis in number fields, and hecke's zeta-functions. In Algebraic Number Theory (Proc. Instructional Conf., Brighton, 1965), pages 305–347, Thompson, Washington, D.C, 1967.